IN THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF WOOD COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

#1 COURT SQUARE, SUITE 203
PARKERSBURG WV 26101

IN RE: MINUTES OF MEETING HELD THURSDAY, JUNE 18, 2015

PRESENT: STEPHEN GAINER, PRESIDENT

DAVID BLAIR COUCH, PRESIDENT ROBERT K. TEBAY, COMMISSIONER

At 9:30 A.M., the County Commission of Wood County met in regular session. They signed purchase orders, invoices, orders and other correspondence.

AGENDA AND DISCUSSION ITEMS

At 9:30 A.M., the County Commission met with Mark Lewis and Cindy Sheppard from the Convention and Visitors Bureau. The County Commission, upon a motion duly made, seconded and passed, authorized funds to be committed from the Innovative Programming Grant Fund for the 2015 WVU Bowling Club in the amount of \$3,000.00. (Order M/3131)

At 9:32 A.M., the County Commission met with Dave Palmer and Rob Tebay, Attorneys to discuss real estate law changes.

No one from the City of Parkersburg showed for the meeting to discuss the Memorandum of Understanding.

The County Commission approved the Request to Hire form from the Day Report

Center for Megan Saunders, moving her from part time General Support Worker to part time

Case Manager.

ORDERS APPROVED AND ATTACHED TO THESE MINUTES

A/1476, A/1477, M/3131

Having no further scheduled appointments or business to attend to, the County Commission adjourned at 10:45 A.M.

APPROVED:

THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF WOOD COUNTY

Stephen Gainer, President

David Blair Couch, Commissioner

Robert K. Tebay, Commissioner

To listen to this meeting, please refer to DVD labeled June 18, 2015.

Wood County Commission Meeting Held June 18, 2015

Please Print

1. Mark Lewis CVB
1. Mark Lewis CVB 2. Cindy Sheppard CVB 3. Rok Telia ATT 4. Daniel J. Palmer 5
3. Politela BITT.
4. David J. Palmer
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.



Wood County Commission Agenda

6/18/2015 1 Court Square, Suite 203 Parkersburg, WV 26101

9:30 A.M.	Discuss Real Estate Law changes	Dave Palmer and Rob Tebay, Attorneys
	Discuss and consider Innovative Grant Application for Mountaineer Classic Bowling Tournament	Mark Lewis and Cindy Sheppard, Convention and Visitors Bureau
	Discuss and consider Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Parkersburg and Wood County for a Department of Justice Grant	
	Consider Request to Hire from Day Report Center – Megan Saunders from part time General Support Worker to part time Case Manager - \$12.00/hr	
	Administrator's Report	Marty Seufer, County Administrator
	County Commission Reports	

Discussion, Review and Approval of expenditures and disbursements identified on Exhibit 1, hereto attached

Correspondence for this meeting will be available for public review during regular office hours in Room 205 of the Wood County Courthouse two (2) days prior to the meeting

Bydoffor il

Discussion, Review and Approval of the following items may be included during this meeting and are available for public inspection in the Office of the County Administrator two days prior to this meeting.

Budget revisions

Purchase orders and requisitions

Revisions, reimbursement requests, resolutions and correspondence for grants

Grant disbursements to other entities

Invoices for expenditures to be paid

Reimbursements for travel expenses

Bid specifications and procedures for bids previously authorized by the Commission

Monthly Hotel Occupancy Tax Collection disbursements

Disbursements for previously approved Innovative Programming Grants

Tax refunds, exonerations, impropers and consolidations

Probate items, including settlements, petitions and Fiduciary Commissioner reports

General Fund disbursements to entities

Funding requests from local organizations by written form

Payroll modification as submitted by elected officials



CFPB Claims TRID Won't Delay Closings for 'Just About Everybody'

June 4, 2015

In an announcement on Wednesday clarifying the TILA-RESPA Integrated Disclosure (TRID) three-day rule, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau said the new regulations will not delay closings in most situations.

The regulations, which go into effect Aug. 1, require creditors to provide the five-page Closing Disclosure to homebuyers three days before the closing so they can review terms of the deal. In its announcement, the bureau clarified the three changes that that would require a new three-day review period. These changes include:

- 1. Annual percentage rate (APR) increases by more than 1/8 percent for fixed-rate loans or 1/4 of a percent for adjustable loans. A decrease in APR will not require a new three-day review if it is based on changes to interest rate or other fees.
- 2. A prepayment penalty is added, making it expensive to refinance or sell.
- 3. The basic loan product changes, such as a switch from fixed rate to adjustable interest rate or to a loan with interest-only payments.

"Many things can change in the days leading up to closing," the CFPB said in its announcement. "Most changes will not require your lender to give you three more business days to review the new terms before closing. The new rule allows for ordinary changes that do not alter the basic terms of the deal."

The bureau said there has been "much misinformation and mistaken commentary" about when a new three-day review period would be needed. The CFPB provided examples of situations that do not require a new three-day review:

- 1. Unexpected discoveries on a walk-through such as a broken refrigerator or a missing stove, even if they require seller credits to the buyer.
- 2. Most changes to payments made at closing, including the amount of the real estate commission, taxes and utilities proration and the amount paid into escrow.
- 3 Typos found at the closing table.

In a blog post, the CFPB said that closings "for just about everybody" will not be delayed. While ALTA members continue to prepare for implementation, ALTA CEO Michelle Korsmo said the uncertainty caused by the rule could result in delays in real estate closings.

"To suggest that real estate closings will not be delayed under TRID is looking at this 1,888-page regulation through rose-colored glasses," Korsmo said. "In today's announcement, there's no guarantee that homebuyers won't experience a longer time period between when they apply for their mortgage and when they actually sit down at the closing table. By failing to provide clear guidance on how to comply with the regulation, the CFPB infuses uncertainty and chaos in the marketplace, which will ultimately undermine the bureau's goal of helping educate consumers about their mortgage transaction."

According to an ALTA survey of nearly 550 title professionals, 87 percent believe TRID will delay closings or result in closings taking longer to complete. The top reasons given as to why closing delays will occur were the three-day delivery rule, changes at the closing table and walk-through issues.

Close Window

Copyright © 2004-2015 American Land Title Association. All rights reserved.

How the Next Financial Crisis Will Happen



PHOTO: GETTY IMAGES

By STEPHEN A. SCHWARZMAN June 9, 2015 7:15 p.m. ET

After the financial crisis, a focus on safety and soundness was good medicine for the financial system. New bank liquidity and capital policies, among other initiatives, strengthened a debilitated patient. The banking system is now stronger, with more liquid assets and better underwriting standards.

Despite good intentions, however, politicians and regulators constructed an expansive and untested regulatory framework that will have unintended consequences for liquidity in our financial system. Taken together, these regulatory changes may well fuel the next financial crisis as well as slow U.S. economic growth.

The Volcker Rule, for example, bans proprietary trading by banks. The prohibition, when combined with enhanced capital and liquidity requirements, has led banks to avoid some market-making functions in certain key equity and debt markets. This has reduced liquidity in the trading markets, especially for debt. A warning flashed last October in the U.S. Treasury market with huge intraday moves, unrelated to external events. Deutsche Bank has reported that dealer inventories of corporate bonds are down 90% since 2001, despite outstanding corporate bonds almost doubling. A liquidity drought can exacerbate, or even trigger, the next financial crisis. Sellers will offer securities, but there will be no buyers. Prices will drop sharply, causing large losses for investors, pension funds and financial institutions. Additional fire sales will aggravate the decline.

Why should we care? Because new capital, liquidity and trading rules are interrelated, and locked-up markets and rapidly falling securities prices will force banks to reduce assets and hoard liquidity in order to satisfy applicable regulatory tests. With individuals suffering losses and companies not able to raise capital, the economy will contract with layoffs, lower tax revenues and pain for middle- and lower-income Americans.

Small business owners will be particularly vulnerable because the number of community banks declined by 41% between 2007 and 2013. Recent studies by economists at the Richmond Federal Reserveand Harvard University both concluded that the 2010 Dodd-Frank financial law contributed to this decline. Dodd-Frank has disproportionately burdened community banks, despite their having no role in the financial crisis. We must revisit Dodd-Frank's application to community banks because of their special relationship with borrowers in agriculture, small business and local real estate.

More generally, banks will not satisfy customers' needs in a financial crisis as they have in the past. While many banks actively lent in 2008, the new capital requirements will cause banks to hoard capital with an eye toward satisfying the regulators, rather than meeting the needs of their customers. The largest banks' need to preserve capital will be intensified because of rules requiring them to revalue assets as they become riskier as well as decreasing their capital from unrealized securities losses. With respect to liquidity requirements, even if banks believed that regulators wanted them to drop below mandated levels, they will not want to lend because dipping below specified liquidity requirements would signal financial vulnerability to clients, investors and industry analysts.

If banks reduce their lending, customers will have little prospect of finding other funding in a declining market. While certain nonbank financial institutions would be a potential source of credit in a downturn, their overall lending capacity would not be sufficient to cover the shortfall. Indeed, corporate America's fear that credit will not be available in times of financial distress has led many larger companies to retain unprecedented levels of cash. While certain companies have the scale and cash flow to be their own bankers, small and medium-size businesses, and the less sophisticated, do not have this luxury.

Indifference to the need for liquidity in a crisis has reached such a state that some legislators have proposed further limiting the Federal Reserve's emergency lending powers. In a financial crisis, only the Fed, as the lender of last resort, might stand between our economy and financial catastrophe. We must leave the Fed with the flexibility to provide liquidity in order to stop a financial panic. While moral hazard is a legitimate risk, limiting the Fed's ability to enhance systemic safety is, as former Fed Chairman Ben Bernanke has said, like shutting down the fire department to encourage fire safety.

Given the rapid expansion of bank regulation and growing liquidity concerns, regulators need to revisit whether they have overshot the mark. They need to assess the costs of liquidity regulation and take into account the perspective of consumers, businesses and other stakeholders who will depend upon access to the banking system in a crisis. This reassessment should explore countercyclical liquidity and capital strategies that encourage banks to support their customers in a crisis.

It is five years since Dodd-Frank became law, and time for a fresh look at its impact. We need a holistic regulatory review of the cumulative effect of postcrisis capital, liquidity and trading rules on the availability of credit and liquidity. Any review needs to be transparent, coordinated domestically and internationally and proactively engage a broad base of regulators, industry leaders, economists and consumers.

No one is looking to jettison the benefits of stronger capital and liquidity requirements. We just need to be careful that we don't create bankers who, in words attributed to Mark Twain, take their lent umbrella back the minute it begins to rain.

Mr. Schwarzman is chairman, CEO and co-founder of Blackstone.



The New York Times Magazine http://nyti.ms/1Kzseuj

Magazine

Wall Street Is Using the Power of Dodd-Frank Against Itself

MAY 27, 2015 On Money

By ADAM DAVIDSON

Regulating Wall Street is an Old Testament sort of affair: Like Leviticus, it is all about the persnickety details. But politicians try to talk about it in New Testament terms, with sinners and saints, salvation and damnation. Only they can't agree on who the sinners are — the bankers or the bureaucrats — and wherein lies salvation. Such moralizing, however, does very little to shine light on the benefits and drawbacks of the byzantine 2010 banking regulations known as Dodd-Frank.

Dodd-Frank is a sprawling piece of legislation, divided into 16 sections that together represent the most drastic change in financial regulation since the Great Depression. The law created multiple government bodies tasked with monitoring and intervening in financial markets. In the event of crisis, it stipulates new ways to dissolve large banks without requiring government bailouts. The law also created the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, increases the regulation of hedge funds and does several thousand other things, big and small.

Nobody thinks Dodd-Frank is perfect, but there is a broad consensus on the political left that it shifted power, at least a little, from banks to consumers, and thereby made our economy slightly safer and fairer. I have heard plenty of people say

that Wall Streeters and Republicans want to kill Dodd-Frank. I'm sure plenty of them do.

But what I've noticed since the law passed is not an effort to destroy it but one to — in a phrase borrowed from my junior-high karate teacher — use its power against itself. We can see evidence in the hundreds of meetings that banking regulators have had with industry groups, haggling over every tiny detail of the law. We can see it too in the numerous lawsuits filed by banks, financial-services companies and their advocates, which seem designed to lull anybody who mistakenly happened upon them to sleep. They call for subtle changes in the rule-making process, demand redefinition of financial instruments and in myriad other ways seek to change the letter of the law so as to alter its spirit.

At the heart of the battle between Dodd-Frank's supporters and opponents is a deep uncertainty over what exactly banks are up to. We know we need banks. We also know that, in aggregate, banks took absurd risks before the financial crisis, which jeopardized our very way of life. But no single human being, and probably not even the combined effort of thousands of them, seems able to clarify where you can draw a legal line between good banking and bad.

In the middle of the crisis in late 2008, I turned in search of solace to an essay that Ben S. Bernanke wrote back in 1983, when he was not quite 30, and long before he would become the Fed chairman. Called "Non-Monetary Effects of the Financial Crisis in the Propagation of the Great Depression," the paper revealed what the young Bernanke thought about the last time the American economy disintegrated. Everybody I knew in finance was talking about this paper and finding reassurance in its wisdom. They were thankful that he, of all people, was steering the ship. But I had a different reaction: The essay, for all its brilliance, filled me with dread.

In the early 1980s, Bernanke had sought to answer a seemingly simple question: Why did the Great Depression last so long? For a decade, unemployed people wanted to work. Owners of capital had money to pay them, and factories were sitting idle. What kept them apart? Bernanke's answer was that banks had been the essential connection; under normal circumstances, they would link those with a surplus of money to those with a dearth.

Banks, at their best, perform a sort of financial magic. Consumers put their little bits of extra money in a savings account, expecting to be able to remove it whenever they'd like. Borrowers, though, often want to take out loans for years, even decades, to fund new businesses or buy homes. The fundamental role of banks is to transform short-term deposits into long-term debt. That is called financial intermediation and, without it, a modern economy ceases to function. (This is what the Treasury Department was seeking to avoid in 2008, by bailing out so many banks.) But the banks *know* we need them, and they use that fact to take more than they deserve. Banks — especially when they become large and complex — are at once essential and destructive, beneficial and insidious.

As I read Bernanke's paper, I realized that when an industry becomes necessary for the proper functioning of our society, it wields an unhealthful amount of power. Specifically, it is in the perfect position to engage in what economists call "rent seeking."

Generally speaking, businesses earn profits in one of two basic ways. The first is by providing goods and services more productively than others and selling them at a price people are willing to pay. The second is by seeking rents. "Rent," in the economic sense, refers broadly to any excess benefits that people and businesses receive simply because they have power over something that others need. Patents are a form of rent, as are cable-TV monopolies.

For economists, rent-seeking is everywhere, and is a common way that economies go awry. Crudely speaking, productivity enhancement is good, because it makes society richer over all. Equally crudely, rent-seeking is bad, because it makes the people who are already rich even richer. Rent-seeking tends to be a force against innovation and for stagnancy, in large part because its focus is on the past — on maintaining power and influence gained long ago, often at the expense of innovation. Businesses built around rent-seeking don't try to increase the size of the pie; they just want to make sure they get a bigger slice. (If a company doesn't seem to care about your opinion of it as a customer, there's a good chance that it is seeking rents.)

Between 2009 and 2011, a group of economists at New York University's Stern School of Business published an influential series of reports and books that sought to explain what, exactly, happened during the financial crisis. The depth of the inquiry was notable because the school is generally thought of as a Wall Street-friendly training ground for future bankers. One of the most striking findings was that between 1980 and 2000, the large banks in America had significantly moved away from productivity enhancement and toward rent-seeking.

For the reports' principal authors, Matthew Richardson and Viral Acharya, the evidence of this shift came from careful study of the various ways that banks have legally evaded regulation of their capital requirements. A fundamental tenet of bank regulation is that banks shouldn't borrow too much, because being overleveraged makes them vulnerable to collapse. But banks can most easily make huge profits if they borrow huge amounts, and they tend to pursue unsafe levels of borrowing. Then, the authors observed, they use their power as essential tools in an economy to negotiate bailouts from the government, forcing taxpayers to guarantee their losses. Richardson and Acharya showed that it was precisely because our banking regulations were so extensive and complex that banks were able to seek rents. They called this "regulatory arbitrage," a term that means banks have harnessed regulation and turned it into a powerful business tool.

The N.Y.U. research cuts against both the standard left- and right-leaning critiques of Wall Street. The perception of many on the left is that banks were once well regulated and then the oversight stopped. But banking regulation has in fact grown over the past 30 years, with more regulators enforcing more (and more complicated) rules. For many on the right, of course, this is itself the problem: All that government intrusion, they argue, is stifling the financial system. But Richardson and Acharya's research shows that we're in a scarier place than either side realizes. By freeing banks' hands, reducing regulation might incentivize them to engage in more rent-seeking. But by increasing the complexity of the rules, overregulation can enable rent-seeking just as easily.

Even today, five years after its passage, Dodd-Frank is still an amorphous beast. But one thing is clear: Dodd-Frank does little to prevent or counteract the rent-seeking and regulatory arbitrage that have become the hallmarks of the 21st-century bank. To fight rent-seeking, we would need banking laws made up of straightforward rules that educated laypeople could understand. They would have to eliminate our maddeningly complex regulatory infrastructure. There would be trade-offs: The financial system might not perform as efficiently, and the economy might not grow as quickly during boom times. But if done right, an overhaul of banking regulations could create a political context in which rent-seeking self-enrichment by banks is no longer the norm. We might even come to call it what it is: corruption.

Adam Davidson is a founder of NPR's "Planet Money" and a contributing writer for the magazine.

JUNE 18, 2015

IN THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF WOOD COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

IN RE: THE COUNTY COMMISSION AUTHORIZED STEPHEN GAINER, AS PRESIDENT, TO SIGN THE REQUEST FOR REIMBURSEMENT IN REGARD TO THE COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS GRANT NUMBER 15-CC-09. SAID REQUEST IS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$47,776.88.

ORDER

On this date, the County Commission of Wood County, upon a motion made by Robert K. Tebay, seconded by David Blair Couch and made unanimous by Stephen Gainer, did hereby AUTHORIZE Stephen Gainer, in his official capacity as President and on behalf of the County Commission, to sign the Request for Reimbursement in regard to the Community Corrections Grant Number 15-CC-09. Reimbursement is in the amount of forty-seven thousand seven hundred seventy-six dollars and eighty-eight cents (\$47,776.88) for the month of May, 2015. In addition to the Request for Reimbursement, the Time Sheets for employees of the Wood County Day Report Center, the Monthly Project Director's Report, the Financial Recap and the Project Financial Reports are being submitted to the Criminal Justice Services, Charleston, West Virginia.

A copy of the Request for Reimbursement is attached to this ORDER and should be made a part thereof. Documentation pertaining to the Community Corrections Grant is on file in the Office of the County Administrator.

APPROVED:

THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF WOOD COUNTY

Stephen Gainer, President

David Blair Couch, Commissioner

Robert K. Tebay, Commissioner

A/1476

WEST VIRGINIA Division of Criminal Justice Services

Request for Reimbursement



RECEIVED	Subgrantee:	Wood County Commission
(For DCJS Use Only)	Address:	One Court Square, Suite 203
		Parkersburg, WV 26101
*	2	
	Project #:	15-CC-09
a total :	FEIN#:	556 000 417
30 332 3 3	Funds are her	eby requested to cover expenditures
	FROM: 5/1	/15 TO: 5/31/15

PROJECT CASH EXPENDITURES

CATEGORY	AMOUNT
Personnel/Contractual	\$47,638.82
Travel/Training	-0-
Space	-0-
Other	\$138.06
Equipment	-0-
TOTAL	\$47,776.88

CERTIFICATION:

I certify that this report presents actual receipts and expenditures of funds for the period covered and for the total grant budget to date, made in accordance with the approved budget for this grant.

All documentation is available at our office.

BY: Stephen Gainer, President, Wood County Commission
TYPED NAME & TITLE

(Authorized Official or Grant Financial Officer ONLY)

Meshey Jame 6/18/15 SIGNATURE DATE

DCJ:	S USE ONLY		1
ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL:			
This request is approved in the amount of \$	initials	Date	_
Pursuant to the authority vested in me, I certify that	this request is correct	and proper for payment.	
Date	Program	Accountant	

JUNE 18, 2015

IN THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF WOOD COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

IN RE: THE COUNTY COMMISSION WAS IN RECEIPT OF THE APPROVAL OF THE REQUEST FOR REVISION TO APPROVED BUDGET IN REGARD TO REVISION NO. 5 IN THE GENERAL COUNTY FUND BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015.

ORDER

On this date, the County Commission of Wood County was in receipt of the Approval of the Request for Revision to Approved Budget from the West Virginia State Auditor, Chief Inspector Division, Charleston, West Virginia, in regard to Revision No. 5 in the General County Fund budget for the fiscal year 2014/2015. Receipt of said Approval is pursuant to an Order appearing in Order Book 71, at Page 71 and bearing the date of June 15, 2015, (nunc pro tune June 8, 2015) at which time the Request for Revision was signed by Stephen Gainer, Commissioner of the Wood County Commission.

A copy of said Approval is attached to this Order and should be made a part thereof.

APPROVED:

THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF WOOD COUNTY

Stephen Gainer, President

David Blair Couch, Commissioner

Robert K. Tebay, Commissioner

A/1477

06/08/2015	04:03	3044241809	CC	DUNTY_ : GLERK	PAGE 03/06
I.GSD BR (Ver. 20 Ora Ash, Director West Virginia State 200 West Main Str	e Auditor's Office	ee	Subject to approval of the sta that the budget be revised pr	SION TO APPROVED BUDGET ate auditor, the governing body requests for to the expenditure or obligation of fun insufficient appropriation currently exists	CONTROL NUMBER ds Fy: 2014-2015 Fund: 1 Roy, No. 5
Clarkaburg, WV 2 Phone: 627-2415			Wood County Commission	28 J.	Pg. of No. 1 of 4
Fax: 627-2417	CAL VIII			ERNMENT ENTITY	- DECEIVEN
Person To Contact			P O Box 1474		JUN 08 2015
	Melody Ro 304-424-18	VICTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	STRE	EET OR PO BOX	GOUNTY GOVERNMENT PUBLIFIER, III
Fax	304-424-18	09	Parkersburg	26102	WV State Auditor
REVENUES: (n	et each acci	.)	CITY	ZIP CODE	
ACCOUNT	ACCOL	INT	I PREVIOUSLY		

1,601,168

ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	PREVIOUSLY APPROVED AMOUNT	(INCREASE)	(DECREASE)	REVISED AMOUNT
301-09	Redemption from State Auditor	10,000	12,500		22,500
301-90	Properly Tax - Excess Levy	476,539	90,000		566,539
304	Property Transfer Tax	375,000	58,000		433,000
306	Gas & Oll Severance Tex	40,000	47,000		87,000
308	Wine & Liquor Tax	5,000	5,000		10,000
309	Hotel Occupancy Tax	715,397	35,000		750 397

NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) Revenues (ALL PAGES)

COUNTIES-TRANSFERS TO THE GENERAL FUND FROM OTHER FUNDS MUST HAVE PRIOR APPROVAL OF AUDITOR'S OFFICE

ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	PREVIOUSLY APPROVED AMOUNT	(INCREASE)	(DECREASE)	REVISED AMOUNT
401	County Commission	2,629,541	19,969	1 1	2,649,510
404	Shorlif-Treasuror	695,069	25,800		720,869
408	Statewide Computer Network	61,856	1,652		63,508
438	Building Inspection		6,800		6,800
442	Federal Grants	963,807	580,680		1,544,487
443	State Grants	1,131,665	52 <u>,</u> 500		1,184,165
698	Transfers/Rolm. (Audit Findings)		700,000		700,000
699	Contingencies	3,329		3,329	
700	Sheriff-Law Enforcement	3,645,968	9,267		3,655,235
702	Reimburgable Jell Costs	792,070	1,585		793,655
705	Home Confinement	225,244	34,000		259,244
707	Investigative Services	9,600	5,238		14,838
	NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) Expenditures	1,601,168		

APPROVED BY THE STATE AUDITOR Deputy State Auditor, Local Government Services Div. AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE APPROVAL OF ENTITY DATE

625,175

COUNTY_ : CLERK

REVENUES (CONT)

Wood County Commission

LGSD; BR

Wood County Commission CONTROL NUMBER

2014-2015

FY FUND BUDGET REVISION REQUEST-SUPPLEMENT-REVENUES REV# PREVIOUSLY ACCOUNT ACCOUNT REVISED NUMBER CATEGORY APPROVED AMOUNT INCREASE DECREASE **AMQUNT** 311 Payment in-Lieu of Taxes 275,000 64,615 210,385 313 Miscellaneous Energy Тах 5,000 2,923 7,923 318 Bullding Permits 50,000 3,000 53,000 322 Federal Grants 770,348 580,680 1,351,028 323 State Grants 1,131,665 52,500 1,184,165 327 Charges for Services 91,446 6,825 98,271 329 Shariff's Service of Process 30,000 4,000 34,000 330 Sheriff's Earnings 12,000 2,500 9,500 331 County Clork's Earnings 310,000 6,200 303,800 332 Circuit Clerk's Earnings 75,000 28,000 103,000 334 Accident Reports 3,000 500 2,500 335 Motor Vehicle License Fee 13,000 1,000 14,000 340 Rente & Concessions 85,000 14,000 71,000 350 IRP Foos 75,000 45,000 30,000 361 Fines, Fees & Court Costs 13,296 2,100 15,396 Regional Jali Operations Partial 362 150,000 38,000 188,000 365 Interest Earned 1,000 150 1,150 366 Miscellaneous Revenue 106,345 6,000 100,345 370 Gaming Income 60,000 12,000 48,000 373 Video Lottory 200,000 69,000 269,000 Refunds/Reimbursements (External Sources) 382 207,972 9,577 217,549 383 Parking 39,000 3,000 42,000 Home . Confinement Relmbursements 393 225,244 24,765 200,479

NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) Revenues (THIS PAGE)

COUNTY_ : CLERK

REVENUES (CONT)

Wood County Commission

LGSD: BR

Wood County Commission CONTROL NUMBER

2014-2015

FY **FUND** REV# BUDGET REVISION REQUEST-SUPPLEMENT-REVENUES ACCOUNT ACCOUNT PREVIOUSLY REVISED INCREASE DECREASE AMQUNT APPROVED AMOUNT NUMBER CATEGORY 39,000 264,000 225,000 394 General School 14,000 46,000 395 Maglatrate Court 60,000 2,153,050 2,149,557 3,493 397 Payroll Reimbursementa Transfers From Rainy Day 700,000 700,000 398 Fund 4

NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) Revenues (THIS PAGE)

728,493

EXPENDITURES (CONT'D)

Wood County Commission

LGSD: BR

Wood County Commission

CONTROL NUMBER 2014-2015 REV# FUND FY BUDGET REVISION REQUEST-SUPPLEMENT REVISED PREVIOUSLY ACCOUNT ACCOUNT DECREASE **AMOUNT** APPROVED AMOUNT INCREASE CATEGORY NUMBER 80,320 4,000 76,320 Emergency Services 711 1,667,466 44,000 1,623,466 Communication Center 712 241,000 9,652 231,348 Dog Warden/I-lumane Society 716 388,173 30,474 357,699 Travel Council 912 871,707 90,000 781,707 Library 916 25,548 25,548 Sheriff-Law Enforcement 980 170,762 36,668 207,430 County Commission 986 #N/A #N/A

NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) Expenditures (this page)

167,006

JUNE 18, 2015

IN THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF WOOD COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

IN RE: THE COUNTY COMMISSION DID HEREBY AUTHORIZE FUNDS TO BE COMMITTED FROM THE INNOVATIVE PROGRAMMING GRANT FUND.

ORDER

On this date, the County Commission of Wood County, upon a motion made by Robert K. Tebay, seconded by Stephen Gainer and made unanimous by David Blair Couch, did hereby AUTHORIZE funds to be committed from the Innovative Programming Grant Fund. The following event was approved for funding:

• 2015 WVU Bowling Club - \$3,000.00

The above listed event will be granted funds upon the Commission receiving a proper application for the event.

APPROVED:

THE COUNTY COMMISSION OF WOOD COUNTY

Stephen Gainer, President

David Blair Couch, Commissioner

Robert K. Tebay, Commissioner

M/3131

	Vendor Id	Vendor Name	Type	Check Date	Check Amount	Rec
158296	ACTOR	THE ACTORS GUILD OF PARKERSBURG	REGULAR	06/16/2015	5,000,00	
158297	ASTORG	ASTORG MOTOR CO	REGULAR	06/16/2015	166,44	
158298	AUTOPLUS	AUTOPLUS	REGULAR	06/16/2015	14636	
158299	BFS PETRO	BFS PETROLEUM	REGULAR	06/16/2015	22,32	
158300	CANON	CANON FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC	REGULAR	06/16/2015	151 00	
158301	CARQUEST	CARQUEST	REGULAR	06/16/2015	83,12	
158302	CASTO	CASTO & HARRIS, INC.	REGULAR	06/16/2015	1,047.88	×
158303	CHAMPION	PITNEY BOWES RESERVE ACCCOUNT	REGULAR	06/16/2015	4,923.49	
158304	CHAPMAN	CHAPMAN PRINTING CO. INC	REGULAR	06/16/2015	458.00	
158305	CWS	CWS	REGULAR	06/16/2015	240,52	
158306	DOMINIONH	DOMINION HOPE	REGULAR	06/16/2015	167.39	
158307	ENGLEFIELD	ENGLEFIELD OIL COMPANY	REGULAR	06/16/2015	11,101.08	
158308	GLOBAL	GLOBAL GOV/ED SOLUTIONS INC	REGULAR	06/16/2015	667,19	
158309	GRAINGER	GRAINGER	REGULAR	06/16/2015	44 28	
158310	HEAVENLY HAM	THE HONEY BAKED HAM COMPANY	REGULAR	06/16/2015	195,24	
158311	HOBART	HOBART SALES & SERVICE LAJON INC	REGULAR	06/16/2015	84.69	
158312	JONESCS	CAROLE JONES	REGULAR	06/16/2015	188 70	
158313	LOWES	LOWE'S COMPANIES, INC.	REGULAR	06/16/2015	6.10	
158314	MAHONE TI	MAHONE TIRE CO	REGULAR	06/16/2015	493 24	
158315	MARKSR	REBECCA MARKS	REGULAR	06/16/2015	137,41	
158316	MOVMCF	MID-OHIO VALLEY MULTI- CULTURAL FESTIVAL	REGULAR	06/16/2015	1,250,00	RKH
158317	ODEPOT	OFFICE DEPOT	REGULAR	06/16/2015	268[15]	45
158318	PARKSHARD	PARKS HARDWARE & SUPPLY	REGULAR	06/16/2015	32.30	RIGHT
158319	RICOH	RICOH USA INC	REGULAR	06/16/2015	1,307,28	P
158320	RIS	RECORDS IMAGING & STORAGE INC	REGULAR	06/16/2015	804.50	

	Vendor Id RUNYON	Vendor Name RUNYON LOCK SERVICE	Type REGULAR	Check Date 06/16/2015	Check Amount	Rec
158322	SECKMAN	SECKMAN PEST CONTROL	REGULAR	06/16/2015	400.00	
158323	SOFTWARES	SOFTWARE SYSTEMS INC	REGULAR	06/16/2015	440.00	
158324	STATE ELE	STATE ELECTRIC SUPPLY CO	REGULAR	06/16/2015	12,97	
158325	STATE TAX	STATE TAX DEPARTMENT	REGULAR	06/16/2015	4,534.52	
158326	SUDDENLINKD	SUDDENLINK	REGULAR	06/16/2015	122.70	
158327	TIANO	TIANO-KNOPP ASSOC., INC.	REGULAR	06/16/2015	1,881,01	
158328	USBANKEQUIP	US BANK EQUIPMENT FINANCE	REGULAR	06/16/2015	499.00	
158329	VANDALIA-CON	VANDALIA-CON	REGULAR	06/16/2015	1,000.00	
158330	VERIZONW	VERIZON WIRELESS	REGULAR	06/16/2015	3,275,79	
158331	WATERBOY	WATERBOY LLC	REGULAR	06/16/2015	22.00	
158332	WINANS	WINANS SERVICES	REGULAR	06/16/2015	345/59	
158333	WORKINGMA	WORKINGMAN'S STORE	REGULAR	06/16/2015	4,995.50	
158334	WV ASSOC	WV ASSOC OF COUNTIES	REGULAR	06/16/2015	225.00	
158335	WVBOARD	WV BOARD OF RISK AND INSURANCE MANAGEMENT	REGULAR	06/16/2015	1,063,00	
158336	WVDIVJUSTICE		REGULAR	06/16/2015	1,500.00	
158337	WVVV	WVVV-FM - V96.9	REGULAR	06/16/2015	600.00	

GENERAL FUND Bank Id 101 Totals 49,915.76

M

Report Date/ Time: 6/16/2015 8:22:25AM

Check NoVendor IdVendor NameTypeCheck DateCheck AmountRec5926UNITED BANKUNITED BANKCARD CENTERREGULAR06/15/20152,804.43

COMM.CRIMINAL JUSTICE FUND Bank Id 172 Totals

2,804.43

Report Totals

138,741.71

RKA

Check No Vendor Id
6203 UNITED BANK

Vendor Name
UNITED BANKCARD CENTER

Type REGULAR Check Date 06/15/2015

Check Amount 13,494.96

Rec

E-911 FUND Bank Id 107 Totals

13,494.96

A MA

Check No 1675	Vendor Id IRS-PAY	Vendor Name INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	Type REGULAR	Check Date 06/15/2015	Check Amount 0.00	Rec
1676	IRS-PAY	INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	REGULAR	06/15/2015	82,824.94	
1677	CHILD	CHILD SUPPORT ENF DIV	REGULAR	06/15/2015	1,757.61	
1678	FSA	TASC	REGULAR	06/15/2015	2,782.00	
158291	INGNATIONAL	VOYA FINANCIAL	REGULAR	06/15/2015	45.00	
158292	NRS	NATIONWIDE RETIREMENT SOLUTIONS	REGULAR	06/15/2015	2,950.83	
158293	PHEAA	PHEAA	REGULAR	06/15/2015	147.14	
158294	PUBLIC DE	ONE COMMUNITY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION	REGULAR	06/15/2015	15,461.00	
158295	UNITED BANK	UNITED BANKCARD CENTER	REGULAR	06/15/2015	16,279.82	
GENERAL FUND Bank Id 101 Totals					122,248.34	

pm Rkst

Check No Vendor Id

Vendor Name 1087 UNITED NB UNITED BANK LOAN

Type REGULAR

06/16/2015

Check Date | Check Amount 3,258.27

PROCESSING CENTER

COAL SEVERANCE TAX Bank Id 201 Totals

3,258.27

p.M

Check No Vendor Id 2326 WVDTL

Vendor Name WV DRUG TESTING

LABORATORIES. INC

Type REGULAR 06/16/2015

Check Date Check Amount 214.00

Rec

DRUG COURT Bank Id 178 Totals

214.00

Check No 5927	Vendor Id ALCOHOLMONIT	Vendor Name ALCOHOL MONITORING SYSTEMS	Type REGULAR	Check Date 06/16/2015	Check Amount	Rec
5928	CARQUEST	CARQUEST	REGULAR	06/16/2015	30.93	
5929	CRYSTAL	CRYSTAL SPRING WATER	REGULAR	06/16/2015	68,00	
5930	DOMINIONH	DOMINION HOPE	REGULAR	06/16/2015	98.86	
5931	MONPOWER	MON POWER	REGULAR	06/16/2015	893 40	
5932	MOUNTAINEERG	MOUNTAINEER GAS COMPANY	REGULAR	06/16/2015	24.48	
5933	PARKERSBU	PARKERSBURG UTILITY BOARD	REGULAR	06/16/2015	127/84	
5934	RICOH	RICOH USA INC	REGULAR	06/16/2015	383.01	
5935	TYLER	TYLER MOUNTAIN WATER CO INC	REGULAR	06/16/2015	24.33	
		172 Totals	1,727.35			

PM REP

Check No 3198		Vendor Name 3M ELECTRONIC MONITORING	Type REGULAR	Check Date 06/16/2015	Check Amount	Rec
3199	DIGITAL C	DIGITAL CONNECTIONS	REGULAR	06/16/2015	202.81	
3200	VERIZONW	VERIZON WIRELESS	REGULAR	06/16/2015	250.96	
HOME CONFINEMENT Bank Id 108 Totals					3,512.97	

REFE

pM

Check No 6204	Vendor Id CARQUEST	Vendor Name CARQUEST	Type REGULAR	Check Date 06/16/2015	Check Amount 24688	Rec
6205	DOUBLERADIUS	DOUBLE RADIUS	REGULAR	06/16/2015	2,408,44	
6206	IN-SYNCH	IN-SYNCH SYSTEMS	REGULAR	06/16/2015	390.00	
6207	JOHNSTONE	JOHNSTONE SUPPLY	REGULAR	06/16/2015	61.20	
6208	MILLER CO	MILLER COMMUNICATIONS IN	REGULAR	06/16/2015	105,00	
6209	ONESOURCE	ONESOURCE WATER	REGULAR	06/16/2015	62.10	
6210	TIANO	TIANO-KNOPP ASSOC., INC.	REGULAR	06/16/2015	1,500.00	
6211	VERIZONW	VERIZON WIRELESS	REGULAR	06/16/2015	479.27	
6212	WINANS	WINANS SERVICES	REGULAR	06/16/2015	230.95	
6213	WVSTATEPO	WV STATE POLICE	REGULAR	06/16/2015	19,50	
E-911 FUND Bank Id 107 Totals			5,503.34			

por of

Check No Vendor Id

1528 WATERS R

Vendor Name
ROBIN WATERS

Type REGULAR Check Date 06/16/2015

Check Amount

Rec

MAGISTRATE COURT Bank Id 105 Totals

25.50

0/1

Check No 1679	Vendor Id DENTAL	Vendor Name RENAISSANCE	Type REGULAR	Check Date 06/17/2015	Check Amount	Rec
156846	235566599	SHIRLEY YOHO	VOID	06/17/2015	(200,00)	X
158338	235566599	SHIRLEY YOHO	REGULAR	06/17/2015	200,00	
158339	HRA	WESBANCO INSURANCE SERVICES	REGULAR	06/17/2015	1,092.50	
GENERAL FUND Bank Id 101 Totals			2-374-21			
	Report Totals			2,374.23		

M Reco